UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,725	09/18/2003	Manabu Nakatani	01-1395	4358
<sup>28501</sup> MICHAEL P. N	7590 04/20/201 MORRIS	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368			HELM, CARALYNNE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIDGEFIELD,	CT 06877-0368	1615		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO.e-Office.rdg@boehringer-ingelheim.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,725	NAKATANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CARALYNNE HELM	1615	

	CARALYNNE HELM	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (1) an amendment, affidavited (2) and (2) and (3) and (3) are replies (1) are r	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a capacity (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evalential of the content of the conte	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>		•				
See Continuation Sheet.		CONCLUSION FOR ALLOWALK	oo booduse.			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. ☑ Other: please note the attachment of WO 02/089773 which is the publication of PCT/EP02/05110						
/Caralynne Helm/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615	/Juliet C Switzer/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1634				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Ambuhl et al. (US PGPub No. 2004/0198645) does not qualify as prior art. As noted in the Office action mailed June 11, 2010, the PCT filing date printed on the front of the pregrant publication is incorrect. Attached is the publication of PCT/EP02/05110, the international application for which the cited reference is the US national stage entry. The filing date of this international application is shown to be May 8, 2002. It was published in English on November 14, 2002 and designated the US. Thus the correct filing date is clearly not May 8, 2003 as is shown on the cited pregrant publication. Accordingly, US PGPub No. 2004/0198645 qualifies as a prior art reference under 35 USC 102(e).